

**OPEN ACCESS**



International Journal of  
**Medicine and Medical Sciences**

August 2018  
ISSN 2006-9723  
DOI: 10.5897/IJMMS  
[www.academicjournals.org](http://www.academicjournals.org)



**ACADEMIC  
JOURNALS**  
expand your knowledge

## ABOUT IJMMS

The **International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences** is published monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

The **International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences (IJMMS)** provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of Medicine and Medical Sciences such as:

**Clinical Medicine:** Internal Medicine, Surgery, Clinical Cancer Research, Clinical Pharmacology, Dermatology, Gynaecology, Paediatrics, Neurology, Psychiatry, Otorhinolaryngology, Ophthalmology, Dentistry, Tropical Medicine, Biomedical Engineering, Clinical Cardiovascular Research, Clinical Endocrinology, Clinical Pathophysiology, Clinical Immunology and Immunopathology, Clinical Nutritional Research, Geriatrics and Sport Medicine

**Basic Medical Sciences:** Biochemistry, Molecular Biology, Cellular Biology, Cytology, Genetics, Embryology, Developmental Biology, Radiobiology, Experimental Microbiology, Biophysics, Structural Research, Neurophysiology and Brain Research, Cardiovascular Research, Endocrinology, Physiology, Medical Microbiology

**Experimental Medicine:** Experimental Cancer Research, Pathophysiology, Immunology, Immunopathology, Nutritional Research, Vitaminology and Etiology

**Preventive Medicine:** Congenital Disorders, Mental Disorders, Psychosomatic Diseases, Addictive Diseases, Accidents, Cancer, Cardiovascular Diseases, Metabolic Disorders, Infectious Diseases, Diseases of Bones and Joints, Oral Preventive Medicine, Respiratory Diseases, Methods of Epidemiology and Other Preventive Medicine

**Social Medicine:** Group Medicine, Social Paediatrics, Medico-Social Problems of the Youth, Medico-Social Problems of the Elderly, Rehabilitation, Human Ecology, Environmental Toxicology, Dietetics, Occupational Medicine, Pharmacology, Ergonomy, Health Education, Public Health and Health Services and Medical Statistics The Journal welcomes the submission of manuscripts that meet the general criteria of significance and scientific excellence. Papers will be published approximately one month after acceptance. All articles published in IJMMS are peer-reviewed.

### Contact

Editorial Office: [ijmms@academicjournals.org](mailto:ijmms@academicjournals.org)

Desk: [helpdesk@academicjournals.org](mailto:helpdesk@academicjournals.org)

Website: <http://www.academicjournals.org/journal/IJMMS>

Submit manuscript online <http://ms.academicjournals.me/>

## **Editors**

### **Dr. J. Ibekwe**

*Acting Editor-in-chief,  
International Journal of Medicine and Medical  
Sciences Academic Journals  
E-mail: [ijmms.journals@gmail.com](mailto:ijmms.journals@gmail.com)  
<http://www.academicjournals.org/ijmms>*

### **Afrozul Haq**

*Editor, Laboratory Medicine  
Department of Laboratory Medicine  
Sheikh Khalifa Medical City  
P.O. Box 51900, ABU DHABI  
United Arab Emirates*

## Editorial Board

**Chandrashekhar T. Sreeramareddy**

*Department of Community Medicine,  
P O Box No 155, Deep Heights  
Manipal College of Medical Sciences,  
Pokhara,  
Nepal*

**Sisira Hemananda Siribaddana**

*259, Temple Road, Thalpathpitiya,  
Nugegoda, 10250  
Sri Lanka*

**Dr. santi M. Mandal**

*Internal Medicine  
UTMB, Galveston, TX,  
USA*

**Konstantinos Tziomalos**

*Department of Clinical Biochemistry  
(Vascular Prevention Clinic),  
Royal Free Hospital Campus,  
University College Medical School, University College  
London, London,  
United Kingdom*

**Cyril Chukwudi Dim**

*Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology  
University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital (UNTH)  
P.M.B. 01129, Enugu. 400001,  
Nigeria*

**Mojtaba Salouti**

*School of Medical and Basic Sciences,  
Islamic Azad University- Zanjan,  
Iran*

**Imtiaz Ahmed Wani**

*Srinagar Kashmir, 190009,  
India*

**Professor Viroj Wiwanitkit**

*Wiwanitkit House, Bangkhae,  
Bangkok  
Thailand 10160*

**Dr. Srinivas Koduru**

*Dept of Clinical Sciences  
Collage of Health Sciences  
University of Kentucky  
Lexington USA*

**Weiping Zhang**

*Department of Oral Biology  
Indiana University School of Dentistry  
1121 West Michigan Street, DS 271  
Indianapolis, IN 46202  
USA*

**Lisheng XU**

*Ho Sin Hang Engineering Building  
Department of Electronic Engineering  
The Chinese University of Hong Kong  
Shatin, N.T. Hong Kong,  
China*

**Dr. Mustafa Sahin**

*Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism  
Baskent University,  
Ankara,  
Turkey*

**Dr. Harshdeep Joshi**

*Maharishi Markandeshwar  
Institute of Medical Sciences and Research  
Ambala, (Haryana).  
India.*

# International Journal of Medicine and Medical Sciences

Table of Content: Volume 10 Number 8 August 2018

## ARTICLE

**Diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound scans for the diagnosis of pelvic inflammatory disease keeping laboratory high vaginal swab/urine microscopy culture as gold standard in Anambra State, Nigeria**

94

Eze J. C., Ohagwu C. C., Ugwuanyi D. C., Chiegwu H. U. and Onyeugbo E.

*Full Length Research Paper*

# **Diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound scans for the diagnosis of pelvic inflammatory disease keeping laboratory high vaginal swab/urine microscopy culture as gold standard in Anambra State, Nigeria**

**Eze J. C.\* , Ohagwu C. C., Ugwuanyi D. C., Chiegwu H. U. and Onyeugbo E.**

Department of Radiography and Radiological Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, College of Health Sciences, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nnewi Campus, Anambra State, Nigeria.

Received 8 August, 2015; Accepted 23 September, 2015

**Ultrasound scanning (USS) and high vaginal swab culture and urine microscopy culture and sensitivity (m/c/s) tests are the most common investigations used for the diagnosis of pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) in our locality. The aim of this study was to determine the diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound in the diagnosis of PID keeping laboratory high vaginal swab/urine microscopy, culture as gold standard. The USS, high vaginal swab and urine m/c/s reports of patients referred with PID conditions between January 2010 to April 2013 were obtained from the medical records departments of Nnamdi Azikiwe University teaching hospital, Nnewi, Anambra state. The diagnostic accuracy was determined by calculating sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value using performance characteristics test. Descriptive and inferential statistics were also used. Spearman rho's non parametric analysis and statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 17.0 was equally used. The total number of subjects that were scanned for PID was 120. The highest number of subjects 80 (66.6%) was seen in 21 to 30 years age group. The sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, negative predictive value and positive predictive value of ultrasound in PID diagnosis was found to be 100, 65.3, 89.2, 100 and 86.3%, respectively. There was no significant correlation between USS diagnosis of PID and HVS/urine culture findings ( $p > 0.01$ ). Ultrasound is valuable as the first line of investigation (screening tool) in the diagnosis of PID and should be used in conjunction with other medical investigations to facilitate accurate diagnosis of PID.**

**Key words:** Ultrasound, pelvic inflammatory disease, urine culture, high vaginal swab culture, diagnostic accuracy.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) is a polymicrobial infection in women characterised by infection of the upper genital tract including endometritis, salpingitis, pelvic peritonitis, occasionally leading to the formation of tubo-ovarian abscess (Turzic and Kocijancic, 2010). It is an infection caused by inflammatory continuum from the cervix to the peritoneal cavity (Soper, 2010). It is a

serious complication of some sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), especially *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* in women of child bearing age. Adolescents are at the increased risk for PID and should therefore be advised to delay the onset of sexual activity until age 16 years or older (Simms et al., 2006). It can be acquired non-sexually through operative or non-operative

introduction of vaginal bacteria (Grodstein and Rothman, 1999; Roses, 2001). PID can also develop from an unsterile abortion or child delivery or may be a complication of intrauterine contraceptive devices (IUCDs) insertion. If pelvic inflammatory disease is not promptly treated, they can cause damage to the fallopian tubes and to tissues in and near the uterus and ovaries. They can also lead to infertility, ectopic pregnancy, abscess formation and chronic pelvic pain (CDC, 2011).

Pelvic inflammatory disease is the single most important cause of tubal pathology leading to infertility (CDC, 2011). The signs and symptoms of PID are usually indistinguishable among women with acute salpingitis, those with endometritis without acute salpingitis and those with cervicitis but neither endometritis nor salpingitis (Eckert et al., 2002; Wiendfield et al., 2003; Welner-Hanssen et al., 1983). Patients with PID can present with unbearable abdominal pelvic pain or may be completely asymptomatic (Dayan, 2006). They may also be present with lower abdominal pain or pelvic pain, vaginal discharge, abnormal vaginal bleeding, dyspareunia and adnexal mass or tenderness, cervical motion tenderness or fever (Dayan, 2006). No specific international data are available for PID incidence worldwide. In 2005, the world health organisation (WHO) estimated that approximately 448 million new cases of curable sexually transmitted infections occur annually in individuals aged 14 to 49 years (WHO, 2010). Factors contributing to difficulty of determining the actual worldwide incidence and prevalence of PID include the following (Lon et al., 2006):

1. Non recognition of the disease on the part of the patient.
2. Difficulties in obtaining access to care.
3. The often subjective method of disease diagnosis.
4. The lack of diagnostic and laboratory facilities in many developing countries.
5. Underfunded overstretched public health system.

Laboratory urine microscopy, culture and sensitivity(m/c/s) and high vaginal swab (HVS) tests, are sensitivity and specificity tests which aid in the identification and confirmation of the presence of organisms that may be the causative factor of the infection and to determine the best antibiotic therapy for treatment (Monica, 2000).

These tests are mostly used in our locality because they are cheap. With the advent of real time sonography, the female reproductive organs can be easily assessed and the uterus, cervix, vagina, fallopian tubes and the ovaries seen. Real time imaging is preferred to other conventional diagnostic methods because of its simplicity

and accuracy in evaluation of the female reproductive organs. Ultrasonography is the imaging method of choice followed closely by Magnetic Resonance Imaging (Jaiyeoba and Soper, 2011). It is also used as an additional diagnostic tool to high vaginal swab and urine m/c/s tests for the diagnosis and confirmation of pelvic inflammatory disease. Scanning of the body using ultrasound is capable of showing areas of abnormal anatomy and pathology that may facilitate the achievement of specific diagnosis or add further support to the results drawn from other methods of diagnosing pelvic inflammatory disease.

Ultrasound plays a tremendous role in the investigation of the pelvic visceral. Pelvic sonography is performed commonly in patients who have a clinical diagnosis of PID. Although, the study may be normal or sometimes non specific, there are various findings that are characteristic of this process (Horrow et al., 2007). Pelvic ultrasonography has limited sensitivity for the diagnosis of PID but the specific finding of thickened fluid-filled tubes by ultrasonography supports the diagnosis of upper genital tract infection (Timor-Tritsch and Rottem, 1987). Ultrasonography is the most frequently ordered imaging examination when pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) is suspected (Agarwal, 2013).

Other diagnostic methods for investigating PID include laparoscopy, endo-cervical/ urethral swab tests and endometrial biopsy. No single test has adequate sensitivity and specificity to diagnose PID. A large multisite United States study found that cervical motion tenderness as a minimum common criterion increases the sensitivity of the CDC diagnostic criterion from 83 to 95%. However, the modified 2002 CDC criteria do not identify women with subclinical disease (Blenning et al., 2007).

In developing countries such as Nigeria, ultrasonography remains a diagnostic tool for assessment of pelvic inflammatory disease because it is cheap, readily available and does not make use of ionizing radiation.

## METHODOLOGY

This was a cross sectional validation study of documented records. This study covered a period of 3 years from January, 2010 to April, 2013. The folders of patients who were suspected/diagnosed of having PID and had undergone USS, urine m/c/s and HVS tests in Nnandi Azikiwe University Teaching Hospital, Anambra State were evaluated. A total of 120 patients' folders were obtained from the medical records department of the hospital within the 3 year period studied (that is, between January, 2010 to April, 2013). In this study, folders with both ultrasound, HVS and urine m/c/s reports were included while the folders with either one or none of them were excluded. Sources of data were basically secondary relying

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: ezejoseph2@yahoo.com. Tel: 08036736822.

Author(s) agree that this article remain permanently open access under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

**Table 1.** The age distribution of subjects that had USS, HVS and Urine M/C/S investigation.

S/N	Age (years)	Frequency	Percentage
1	10-20	14	11.66
2	21-30	80	66.66
3	31-40	17	14.16
4	41-50	5	4.16
5	51-60	4	3.33
<b>Total</b>	-	120	100

**Table 2.** Ultrasound diagnosis of PID.

Parameter	Frequency	Percentage
NO PID	25	20.8
PID	95	79.2
Total	120	100.0

**Table 3.** Organisms yielded using high vaginal swab (HVS) culture.

Organisms	Frequency	Percentage
No bacterial growth	38	31.7
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	43	35.8
<i>Candida albicans</i>	17	5
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> and <i>Candida albicans</i>	5	4.2
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	3	2.5
Streptococcal Spp	13	10.8
Coliform and staph. Spp.	1	0.8

on the information the hospital and staff recorded in patients' folders, request cards and ultrasound registers. It was carried out by going through the ultrasound registers to note all cases of pelvic scan with clinical indication of PID. Then using their hospital numbers, the folders were traced to the medical records departments with permission from the hospital heads. The names and age of the patients were also obtained from the folders.

#### Data analysis

The correlation of ultrasound diagnosed cases of PID with laboratory high vaginal swab and urine m/c/s findings was calculated using Spearman rho's non-parametric analysis and statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) Version 17.0, while the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy, positive predictive value and negative predictive value of ultrasound scan in diagnosis of PID using high vaginal swab as the gold standard, was calculated using performance characteristics test.

Most of the subjects were within the age range of 201-30 years (Table 1). PID was noted in 79.2% of the cases (Table 2). *Staphylococcus aureus* was the most occurring organism detected using HVS while coliform and staphylococcus species were least occurring (Table 3). Majorly there was no bacterial growth detected in the urine culture (Table 4). There was no significant difference

between sonographic finding and HVS culture and also no significant difference in urine culture (Table 5). The performance test characteristics of ultrasonography against HVS culture taken as gold standard shows that more positive results were obtained using sonography (Table 6).

## RESULTS

Medical records of 120 female subjects were assessed to correlate ultrasound scan (USS) diagnosis of PID with laboratory urine m/c/s and HVS diagnosis and also to determine the sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of ultrasound in the diagnosis of PID. There were 120 subjects studied. Their age ranged from 11 to 60 years and their mean age was 28 years. Most of them were within the age range of 21 to 30 years, and constituted 66.6%. The least age group was within 51 to 60 years and they constituted 3.3% of the study. Using ultrasound for the diagnosis, 95 (79.2%) were reported to have PID while 25 (20.8%) do not have it. The most prevalent causative organism for this condition using HVS and



**Table 4.** Organisms yielded in urine culture.

Organisms	Frequency	Percentage
No bacteria growth	59	49.2
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	35	29.2
Coliform and Staph. Spp	1	0.8
Coliform	5	4.2
<i>Candida albicans</i>	1	0.8
<i>C. albicans</i> and Staph. Spp	1	0.8
Strep. Spp	17	14.2
Proteus Spp.	1	0.8
Total	120	100.0

**Table 5.** Correlations of Ultrasound diagnosis and HVS culture/Urine culture.

Investigations	P-value
Ultrasonography and HVS culture	0.745**
Ultrasonography and urine culture	0.189**

\*\*P>0.01 (Not significant).

**Table 6.** Performance test characteristics of ultrasonography against HVS culture taken as gold standard.

Diagnostic technique	Positive		Negative		Total	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Ultrasonography	95	79.2	25	20.8	120	100
HVS culture	82	68.3	38	31.7	120	100

Urine cultures were staphylococcus species. There was no significant correlation ( $r = 0.030$ ) between ultrasound diagnosis and HVS culture diagnosis of PID. No significant correlation existed between ultrasound and urine culture in the diagnosis of PID ( $r = 0.121$ ).

## DISCUSSION

Pelvic inflammatory disease refers to the infection of the upper genital tract of the female reproductive organ which if left untreated can lead to serious consequences including infertility, ectopic pregnancy, abscess formation and chronic pelvic pain (CDC, 2011). The spread of these infections are normally in an ascending manner through the vagina or cervix into the uterine cavity. Lymphatic spread may also occur either parametrically or along the surface of the uterus.

Infection can also spread from the bowel or can be blood borne (Ash, 2006). Ultrasonography is the most frequently ordered imaging examination when pelvic inflammatory disease is suspected (Agarwal, 2013). Its advantage lies in the fact that it is safe, non invasive

and readily available. The diagnosis of PID is also facilitated with the combined use of ultrasonography and laboratory high vaginal swab culture and urine culture, as these laboratory tests aid in the identification of the causative organisms of PID and also in determining their level of sensitivity to antibiotic therapy which is used in the treatment of PID (Monica, 2000) while sonography on the other hand reveals the extent of the damage and effects on surrounding tissues (Njoku et al., 2008). From this study, the highest number of subjects referred for sonography examinations for symptoms related to PID, were those within the age range of 21 to 30 years. This is the sexually active group and this finding is similar to that of Njoku et al. (2008) which noted higher prevalence of PID in women within the age range of 20 to 30 years. Common microbial organisms isolated from the HVS specimen were *Staphylococcus* species, *Candida albicans* and *Streptococcus* species. The findings are similar to that of Njoku et al. (2008) and Olowe et al. (2012) but differ from that of the Centre for Disease Control and Prevention that were predominantly *N. gonorrhoea* and *C. trachomatis*. However, failure to isolate Chlamydia may be in keeping with previous observations that it does

not readily grow on culture. Common microbial organisms isolated from the urine culture were *Staphylococcus* species and *Streptococcus* species.

There was no significant ( $p > 0.01$ ) correlation between ultrasound diagnosis and HVS culture diagnosis of PID. No significant correlation existed between ultrasound diagnosis and urine culture diagnosis of PID. This can be attributed to the following reasons:

1. Ultrasound finding is not specific to any particular disease condition and because of this the features presented in ultrasound which is indicative of PID can also be indicative of other diseases or physiological processes for example fluid in the pouch of Douglas which is the commonest sonographic feature that is positive for PID (Njoku et al., 2008), can also be seen during the ovulatory period of the female because as the graffian follicle ruptures, fluid is also released which collects in the pouch of Douglas. Fluid collection might also be as a result of inflammation of organs surrounding the female reproductive organ.

2. The stage of the disease (PID): The findings on pelvic sonograms frequently appear normal in the early stages of PID or in uncomplicated conditions (Patter, 2007). This can lead to a negative diagnosis by ultrasound investigation, but on investigating using other diagnostic methods, for example Laboratory HVS culture, the result might be positive.

The results show that the accuracy of ultrasound diagnosis of PID is 89.2%, while the specificity of ultrasound diagnosis of PID is low (65.8%). This may be due to the stage of infection at the point of diagnosis. Ultrasound findings may be nonspecific in early or mild PID and correlation with clinical and laboratory findings is helpful to increase accuracy. Equipment quality and sonographer experience affect the sensitivity and specificity of sonography (Anjali, 2015).

This shows that it is not specific in PID diagnosis because there were a high number of false positive results according to the study. This implies that ultrasonography cannot be used as a confirmatory test in the diagnosis of PID but it should be used instead as a first line of investigation (screening tool) for PID diagnosis before other investigations should be carried out. This finding agrees with that of Njoku et al. (2008).

## Conclusion

There is no significant correlation between ultrasound diagnosis of PID and findings from HVS culture and urine culture. No single diagnostic test has adequate sensitivity and specificity to diagnose PID.

## RECOMMENDATION

The researchers wish to make the following

recommendations:

1. Ultrasound, HVS culture and urine culture investigations should be carried out by qualified and experienced Sonographers /Sonologists and laboratory Scientists in order to facilitate accurate diagnosis.
2. When in doubt, further investigations using other diagnostic methods should be used in the diagnosis of PID.
3. It is very necessary to establish routine pelvic ultrasound scanning in females of reproductive age for early diagnosis of PID before it gets complicated.
4. The efficiency of record keeping both at ultrasound unit and medical records departments should be improved.

## CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors have not declared any conflict of interests.

## REFERENCES

- Ash M (2006). Gynaecology by ten teachers.18<sup>th</sup> ed.London Hodder Arnold. 171-175.
- Blenning CE, Muench J, Jadhav DZ, Roberts KJ (2007). Clinical Inquiries. Which tests are most useful for diagnosis of PID. J. Fam. pract. 56(3):216-220.
- Centre for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)(2011). Pelvic inflammatory disease (PID)-CDC fact sheet. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services.
- Dayan L (2006). Pelvic inflammatory. Aust. Fam. Phys. 35:858-62.
- Eckert LO, Hawes SE, Wölner-Hanssen PK, Kiviat NB, Wasserheit JN, Paavonen JA, Eschenbach DA, Holmes KK (2002). Endometritis; the chemical pathologic syndrome. Am. J. Obstet. Gynaecol. 186(4):690-695.
- Grodstein F, Rothman KJ (1999). Epidemiology of pelvic inflammatory disease. Epidemiology 45:234-42.
- Lon N, BroutetN, Adu-SarkodieY, Barton P, Hossain M, Hawkes S (2006). Global control of sexually transmitted infections. Lancet 368(9551).
- Horrow MM, Rodgers SK, Naqvi S (2007). Ultrasound of pelvic Inflammatory Disease. Ultrasound Clin. 2(2):297-309.
- Monica C (2000). District laboratory practice in tropical countries. London, Cambridge University press. 90-2,116-124.
- Njoku J, Agwu KK, Idigo FU, Ogbu SO (2008). The value of transabdominal sonography in the diagnosis and management of pelvic inflammatory disease. N Nigerian J. med. imaging and radiation therapy 1(1):24-29.
- Olowe OA, Alabe A, Akinde AA (2012). Prevalence and pattern of bacterial isolates in cases of pelvic inflammatory disease patients at a tertiary hospital in Oshogbo, Nigeria. Environ. Res. J. 6(4):308-311.
- Jaiyeoba O, Soper DE (2011). A practical approach to the diagnosis of pelvic inflammatory disease. Infectious Diseases in Obstettr. Gynaecol. 2011:753037.
- Patter RM (2007). Correlation of laparoscopic findings with endovaginal sonography in the diagnosis of PID. CNE Crade. s 10(5):3-4.
- Roses J (2001). Pelvic inflammatory disease. BMJ. 322:658-659.
- Agarwal A (2013). Imaging in pelvic inflammatory disease and tuboovarian abscess. Available at: <http://telradsol.com/imaging-in-pelvic-inflammatory-disease-and-tubo-ovarian-abscess/>
- Simms I, Stephenson JM, Mallinson H, Peeling RW, Thomas K, Gokhale R, Birley H (2006). Risk factors associated with pelvic inflammatory disease. Sexually transmitted infections, 82(6): 452-457
- Soper DE (2010). Pelvic Inflammatory Disease. Obst. Gynecol.116 (2 pt 1):419-28.
- Timor-Tritsch IE, Rottem S (1987). Transvaginal ultrasonographic study

- of the fallopian tubes. *Obstetr. Gynaecol.* 70:424-428.
- Turzic M, Kocijancic D (2010). Pelvic inflammatory disease: Contemporary diagnostic and therapeutic approach. *Srp Arh. Celok Lek.* 138(9-10):658-663.
- Welner-Hanssen PK, Mardh PA, Svensson L, Westrom L (1983). Laparoscopy in women with chlamydial infection and pelvic pain: a comparison of patients with and without salpingitis. *Obstetr. Gynaecol.* 16(3):299-303.
- Wiesenfeld HC, Sweet RL, Ness RB, Krohn MA, Amortegui AJ, Hillier SL (2003). Comparison of acute and subclinical pelvic inflammatory disease. *Sexually Transmitted Sexual Dis.* 32(7):400-405.

**Related Journals:**

